UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,569	08/16/2006	Andras Fazakas	7862-88270	9936
	7590 07/07/200 TABIN & FLANNER	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 184	15	PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,569	FAZAKAS, ANDRAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut-Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/22  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.		
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2008 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E</li> </ul>	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 22, 2009 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding claim 1, the claim language is unclear in reciting the claimed invention. It is unclear as to what is the preamble and what is body of the claim. The phrases, such as, "the bus bar having an aperture for the introduction of a terminal lead to be soldered thereto," the introduction of the terminal lead may affected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected by the application of a soldering material and temporary contact with a source of heat from a second, opposite surface plane of the bus bar" are not clear in adding the structural limitations to the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 2841

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art figure 1, hereafter Apa1, in view of Suzuki (US Patent No. 6,372,998).

Regarding claim 1, Apa1 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (1) made entirely of a good electrical and heat-conducting material (specification page 3, line 5-10), the bus bar having an aperture (2) for the introduction of a terminal lead (4) to be soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second (see figure), opposite parallel surface plane of the bus bar, the aperture extends between the two surface planes (see figure)

Apa1 does not disclose the aperture is formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead and the cone angle is 30 degree.

Suzuki in figure 1 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (1), the bus bar having an aperture (see figure) for the introduction of a terminal lead (5A) to be

soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second (see figure), opposite surface plane of the bus bar, with the formed by a conical bore (see figure ) which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture (see figure) whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead (see figure).

Further, forming the aperture in the conical form will facilitate better solder joint of the terminal to the bus bar with larger quantity of the solder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the bus bar of Apa1 with the aperture formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead, as taught by Suzuki in order to have better solder connection.

Regarding the limitation cone angle being at least 30 degree, though the modified board of Apa1 does not disclose the cone angle being at least 30 degree, the angle will be selected based on the desired quantity of solder for better connection joint.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the structure of Apa1 with the cone angle of the hole at least about 30 degree, in order to improve the solder connection.

Further, it has bee held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding the limitation "soldering may be affected by application of soldering material and temporary contact with a source of heat from a second opposite surface of the bus bar" is process limitation in a product claim. Such a process limitation defines the claimed invention over the prior art to the degree that it defines the product itself. A process limitation cannot serve to patentably distinguish the product over the prior art, in the case that the product is same as, or obvious over the prior art. See Product-by-Process in MPEP § 2113 and 2173.05(p) and *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Modified of Apa1 discloses the structure. Therefore, Apa1 meets the limitation.

Regarding claim 2, the modified structure of Apa1 further discloses that the cone angle is between 50 degree and 90 degree as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 3, the modified structure of Apa1 further discloses the terminal lead is part of a semiconductor device (specification page 3, line 5-10).

Regarding claim 4, the modified structure of Apa1 further discloses the bus bar consist of metal (as applied to claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 5, the modified structure of Apa1 further discloses the metal is copper, copper alloy or silver (specification page 3, line 5-10).

Regarding claim 6, the modified structure of Apa1 further discloses the bus bar is a stand-alone bus bar without contact, at least adjacent the conical bore, with a solid insulating material (see figure)

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch (US Patent No. 4,001,490) in view of Steigerwalt (US Patent No. 2,912,745).

Regarding claim 1, Lynch in figure 6 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (10) made entirely of a good electrical and heat-conducting material (made of metal, column 1. line 45-50), the bus bar having an aperture (15) for the introduction of a terminal lead (20) to be soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second (see figure), opposite parallel surface plane of the bus bar, the aperture extends between the two surface of the plane (see figure).

Lynch does not disclose the aperture is formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead and the cone angle is 30 degree.

Page 7

Steigerwalt in figure 1 and 2 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (2), the bus bar having an aperture (6, with 3) for the introduction of a terminal lead (4) to be soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second (see figure), opposite surface plane of the bus bar, with the formed by a conical bore (see figure 2) which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture (3) whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead (see figure).

Forming the aperture in the conical form will facilitate better solder joint of the terminal to the bus bar with larger quantity of the solder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the bus bar of Lynch with the aperture formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead, as taught by Steigerwalt in order to have better solder connection.

Regarding the limitation cone angle being at least 30 degree, though the modified board of Lynch doe not disclose the cone angle being at least 30 degree, Steigerwalt recites that conical bore is provided to receive extra solder to improve the soldered connection (column 1, line 25-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the structure of Lynch with the cone angle of the hole at least about 30 degree, in order to improve the solder connection.

Page 8

Further, it has bee held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding the limitation "soldering may be affected by application of soldering material and temporary contact with a source of heat from a second opposite surface of the bus bar" is process limitation in a product claim. Such a process limitation defines the claimed invention over the prior art to the degree that it defines the product itself. A process limitation cannot serve to patentably distinguish the product over the prior art, in the case that the product is same as, or obvious over the prior art. See Product-by-Process in MPEP § 2113 and 2173.05(p) and *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Modified of Lynch discloses the structure. Therefore, Lynch meets the limitation.

Regarding claim 2, the modified structure of Lynch further discloses that the cone angle is between 50 degree and 90 degree as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 3, the modified structure of Lynch further discloses the terminal lead is part of a semiconductor device (Steigerwalt, 5a, column 1, line 45-48. Also, the terminal 20 of Lynch is a terminal connected to a printed wiring board and the printed

wiring boards are known to be used for mounting a semiconductor device, it is reasonable to consider the terminal is a part of semiconductor device).

Regarding claim 4, the modified structure of Lynch further discloses the bus bar consist of metal (Lynch, column 1, line 45-50).

Regarding claim 5, the modified structure of Lynch discloses all the features of the claimed invention as applied to claim 4 above including the bus bar is made of metal but does not explicitly disclose the metal is copper. However, the use of copper in the art is old and known due to its better electrical and thermal conductivity and commercially availability.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the modified structure of Lynch with the bus bar made of copper, as is old and known in the art having better electrical and thermal conductivity.

Regarding claim 6, the modified structure of Lynch further discloses the bus bar is a stand-alone bus bar without contact, at least adjacent the conical bore, with a solid insulating material (see figure)

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi (US Patent No. 5,065,283) in view of Steigerwalt (US Patent No. 2,912,745).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,569 Page 10

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 1, Adachi in figure 2 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (1) made entirely of a good electrical and heat-conducting material (copper, column 1, line 15-20, obvious as the embodiment of figure 2 is a variation of the bus bar shown in the embodiment of figure 1), the bus bar having an aperture (7) for the introduction of a terminal lead (42) to be soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second, opposite parallel surface plane of the bus bar (column 2, line –10), the aperture extends between the two surface plane (the upper part of the aperture extends between the tow surfaces of the plane (see figure).

Adachi does not disclose the aperture is formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead and the cone angle is 30 degree.

Steigerwalt in figure 1 and 2 discloses a soldering nest provided in a bus bar (2), the bus bar having an aperture (6, with 3) for the introduction of a terminal lead (4) to be soldered there into, and the introduction of the terminal lead may be effected from a first surface plane of the bus bar and soldering may be effected from a second (see figure), opposite surface plane of the bus bar, with the formed by a conical bore (see figure 2) which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar,

and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture (3) whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead (see figure).

Forming the aperture in the conical form will facilitate better solder joint of the terminal to the bus bar with larger quantity of the solder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the bus bar of Adachi with the aperture is formed by a conical bore which is perpendicular or approximately perpendicular to the surface plane of the bus bar; the apex of the conical bore is oriented toward the first surface plane of the bus bar, and the conical bore terminates in a circular aperture whose diameter is slightly greater than the diameter of the terminal lead, as taught by Steigerwalt in order to have better solder connection.

Regarding the limitation cone angle being at least 30 degree, though the modified board of Adachi doe not disclose the cone angle being at least 30 degree, Steigerwalt recites that conical bore is provided to receive extra solder to improve the soldered connection (column 1, line 25-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the structure of Adachi with the cone angle of the hole at least about 30 degree, in order to improve the solder connection.

Further, it has bee held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding the limitation "soldering may be affected by application of soldering material and temporary contact with a source of heat from a second opposite surface of the bus bar" is process limitation in a product claim. Such a process limitation defines the claimed invention over the prior art to the degree that it defines the product itself. A process limitation cannot serve to patentably distinguish the product over the prior art, in the case that the product is same as, or obvious over the prior art. See Product-by-Process in MPEP § 2113 and 2173.05(p) and *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Modified of Adachi discloses the structure. Therefore, Adachi meets the limitation.

Regarding claim 2, the modified structure of Lynch further discloses that the cone angle is between 50 degree and 90 degree as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 3, the modified structure of Adachi further discloses the terminal lead is part of a semiconductor device (see figure).

Regarding claim 4, the modified structure of Adachi further discloses the bus bar consist of metal (copper as applied to claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 5, the modified structure Adachi further discloses the bus bar is made of copper as applied to claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,569 Page 13

Art Unit: 2841

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) / new explanation of the rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272 1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ibp July 6, 2009 /Ishwar (I. B.) Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841